arXiv:cond-mat/0303074v3 [cond-mat.str-el] 24 Apr 2003

Nernst effect and the thermoelectric power in strongly coupled electron-phonon systems

K. K. Lee[1]
[1]IRC in Superconductivity, Cavendish Laboratory,
University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, United Kingdom

An understanding of high temperature superconductivity clearly requires the nature of its normal state, however the normal state of the cuprates is poorly understood. One possible explanation is the bipolaronic model where mobile, heavily phonon-dressed holes (polarons) are paired in real space. The ground state is made up of intersite singlet bipolarons where excitations can be made to triplet bipolaron and polaron bands. Based on this model I derive the Nernst coefficient and the Thermoelectric power for the cuprates. The Nernst coefficient shows an interesting interference term due to the triplet and singlet bipolaron and polaron current flow. The interference remains even when the scattering rate is independent of energy.

I. INTRODUCTION

To account for the high values of T_c in the cuprates, it is necessary to have electron-phonon interactions larger than those found in the intermediate coupling theory of superconductivity[1]. Regardless of the adiabatic ratio, the Migdal-Eliashberg theory of superconductivity and Fermi-liquids has been shown to breakdown at $\lambda = 1$[2] using the $(1/\lambda)$ expansion technique[3]. The many-electron system collapses into the small polaron regime[2,4,5] at $\lambda \geq 1$ with well separated vibration and charge-carrier degrees of freedom. Moreover the electron-phonon interaction is sufficiently large to bind small polarons into small bipolarons. At first sight these carriers have a mass too large to be mobile, however it has been shown that the inclusion of the on-site coulomb repulsion leads to the favoured binding of intersite oxygen holes[6,7]. The intersite bipolarons can then tunnel with an effective mass of only 10 electron masses[6,8,9,10]. In the cuprates bipolarons are real-space pairs[11] due to the small fermi energy, where the condition for real-space pairing is

$$\epsilon_F \lesssim \pi\Delta. \tag{1}$$

Here Δ is the binding energy of a bipolaron. Mott and Alexandrov proposed a simple model[12] of the cuprates based on bipolarons. In this model all the holes (polarons) are bound into small intersite singlet and triplet bipolarons at any temperature. Above T_c this is a non-degenerate charged bose gas and below T_c we have a Bose-Einstein condensate. The triplet and singlet states are separated by an exchange energy J which explains the spin gap observed in many NMR and neutron scattering experiments[13,14]. Also the bipolaron binding energy has been suggested to be twice the so-called pseudogap[7].

Transport measurements are vital in understanding the nature of the carriers and so here I present two kinetic coefficients which help describe the characteristics of a bipolaronic gas.

II. ELECTRICAL TRANSPORT

The standard Boltzmann equation for kinetics was applied to well decoupled, renormalised carriers[15]. In the presence of the electric field $\mathbf{E}$, temperature gradient ∇T and magnetic field $\mathbf{B} \| \mathbf{z} \perp \mathbf{E}$ and ∇T, the electrical current for each carrier is given by

$$\mathbf{j}^{\alpha} = q \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{v} f_1^{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) \tag{2}$$

where $\alpha = s, p, t$, and q is the carrier charge. We find the x direction component as

$$\begin{aligned} j_x^{\alpha} &= a_{xx}^{\alpha}\nabla_x(\mu - 2e\phi) + a_{xy}^{\alpha}\nabla_y(\mu - 2e\phi) \\ &\quad + b_{xx}^{\alpha}\nabla_x T + b_{xy}^{\alpha}\nabla_y T \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and also the y direction component

$$\begin{aligned} j_y^{\alpha} &= a_{yy}^{\alpha}\nabla_y(\mu - 2e\phi) + a_{yx}^{\alpha}\nabla_x(\mu - 2e\phi) \\ &\quad + b_{yy}^{\alpha}\nabla_y T + b_{yx}^{\alpha}\nabla_x T \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{xx}^{p} &= a_{yy}^{p} = \frac{en_p}{2m_p}\langle\tau_p\rangle, \qquad (5) \\ a_{yx}^{p} &= -a_{xy}^{p} = \frac{eg_pBn_p}{2m_p}\langle\tau_p^2\rangle, \\ b_{xx}^{p} &= b_{yy}^{p} = \frac{en_p}{Tm_p}\langle\tau_p\{E + \Delta/2 - \mu/2\}\rangle, \\ b_{yx}^{p} &= -b_{xy}^{p} = \frac{eg_pBn_p}{Tm_p}\langle\tau_p^2\{E + \Delta/2 - \mu/2\}\rangle, \\ a_{xx}^{s,t} &= a_{yy}^{s,t} = \frac{2en_{s,t}}{m_{s,t}}\langle\tau_{s,t}\rangle, \\ a_{yx}^{s,t} &= -a_{xy}^{s,t} = \frac{2eg_{s,t}Bn_{s,t}}{m_{s,t}}\langle\tau_{s,t}^2\rangle, \\ b_{xx}^{s} &= b_{yy}^{s} = \frac{2en_s}{Tm_s}\langle\tau_s\{E - \mu\}\rangle, \\ b_{yx}^{s} &= -b_{xy}^{s} = \frac{2eg_sBn_s}{Tm_s}\langle\tau_s^2\{E - \mu\}\rangle \\ b_{xx}^{t} &= b_{yy}^{t} = \frac{2en_t}{Tm_t}\langle\tau_t\{E + J - \mu\}\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$b^t_{yx} = -b^t_{xy} = \frac{2eg_tBn_t}{Tm_t}\langle\tau_t^2\{E+J-\mu\}\rangle,$$

and

$$\langle\tau_\alpha^r\rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty dEE\tau^r_\alpha(E)[1+(g_\alpha\tau_\alpha(E)B)^2]^{-1}\partial f_0^\alpha/\partial E}{\int_0^\infty dEf_0^\alpha} \tag{6}$$

Here μ is the chemical potential, J is the exchange energy which separates the triplet state from the singlet state, and Δ is the bipolaron binding energy per pair which is assumed to be of s-symmetry. The latter assumption of s-wave bulk pairing symmetry of a single-particle gap has been shown to be a valid one[16,17,18]. Also we have set $\hbar = 1$ and also from now on set $k_B = c = 1$. $\mathbf{v} = \partial E/\partial\mathbf{k}$, τ is the relaxation time and we assume that it depends on the kinetic energy, $E = k^2/2m$. $g = g_s = 2e/m_s$ for singlet bipolarons with the energy $E = k^2/(2m_s)$. For triplet bipolarons, $g = g_t = 2e/m_t$ and the energy $E = k^2/(2m_t)$. Similarly for thermally excited polarons $E = k^2/(2m_p)$ and $g = g_p = e/m_p$. Here $m_{s,t,p}$ are the singlet and triplet bipolaron and polaron masses of *two*-dimensional carriers.

The number densities, n_α of the three carriers can be evaluated as

$$n_p = \frac{m_pT}{\pi}\ln\left[1+\exp\left(-\frac{\Delta-\mu}{2T}\right)\right], \tag{7}$$

$$n_s = -\frac{m_sT}{2\pi}\ln\left[1-\exp\left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right)\right], \tag{8}$$

$$n_t = -\frac{3m_tT}{2\pi}\ln\left[1-\exp\left(\frac{\mu-J}{T}\right)\right]. \tag{9}$$

Both the kinetic coefficients considered in this paper are caused by an applied thermal gradient rather than an applied potential gradient. Also they both occur in the absence of an electrical current hence $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j_p}+\mathbf{j_s}+\mathbf{j_t} = 0$. Using eqns. (3) and (4), we find,

$$\nabla_x(\mu-2e\phi) = -\frac{b_{yx}a_{yx}+b_{xx}a_{xx}}{(a_{xx})^2+(a_{yx})^2}\nabla_xT + \frac{b_{yx}a_{xx}-b_{xx}a_{yx}}{(a_{xx})^2+(a_{yx})^2}\nabla_yT \tag{10}$$

and

$$\nabla_y(\mu-2e\phi) = -\frac{b_{yy}a_{yy}+b_{yx}a_{yx}}{(a_{xx})^2+(a_{yx})^2}\nabla_yT + \frac{b_{xx}a_{yx}-b_{yx}a_{xx}}{(a_{xx})^2+(a_{yx})^2}\nabla_xT \tag{11}$$

where $b_{xx} = b^p_{xx}+b^s_{xx}+b^t_{xx}$, $a_{yx} = a^p_{yx}+a^s_{yx}+a^t_{yx}$, etc.

These two equations can used to eliminate the potential gradient terms from other kinetic equations involving only the temperature gradient as the non-equilibrium source.

III. NERNST COEFFICIENT - STRONG AND WEAK FIELD

The Nernst effect, Q is similar to the Hall effect except here the induced Hall field is created by a thermal gradient. There is no applied potential gradient and the carriers are affected by a thermal gradient only ($\parallel$ **xy**). The charged carriers are then deflected perpendicular to the charge flow by an applied magnetic field ($\parallel$ **z**) setting up the Nernst electric field, $E_y = -QB\nabla_xT$. Using eqn(11) we obtain the isothermal Nernst coefficient ($\nabla_yT = 0$)

$$Q = \frac{b_{yx}a_{xx}-b_{xx}a_{yx}}{2eB(a^2_{xx}+a^2_{xy})} \tag{12}$$

If the magnetic field is weak, $g_\alpha\tau_\alpha B << 1$, we can ignore all terms in B^2 and higher order. The previous definition of $\langle\tau^r_\alpha\rangle$ becomes

$$\langle\tau^r_\alpha\rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty E\tau^r_\alpha dE\partial f^\alpha_0/\partial E}{\int_0^\infty dEf^\alpha_0} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{weak} = {} & [\frac{g_p < \tau_p^2 > D_1^2}{eT < \tau_p >}][(\Sigma_p-\Gamma_p) \\ & +2A_{s2}(\Sigma_s-2\Gamma_p-\Delta)+8A_{t1}A_{s2}(\Sigma_s-\Gamma_t-J)] \\ & +8A_{s1}A_{s2}(\Sigma_s-\Gamma_s)+2A_{t2}(\Sigma_t-2\Gamma_p+J-\Delta)] \\ & +2A_{s1}(2\Sigma_p-\Gamma_s+\Delta)+8A_{s1}A_{t2}(\Sigma_t+J-\Gamma_s) \\ & +2A_{t1}(2\Sigma_p+\Delta-J-\Gamma_t)+8A_{t1}A_{t2}(\Sigma_t-\Gamma_t) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where

$$A_{s1,t1} = \frac{n_{s,t}\langle\tau_{s,t}\rangle m_p}{n_p\langle\tau_p\rangle m_{s,t}}. \tag{15}$$

$$A_{s2,t2} = \frac{g_{s,t}n_{s,t}\langle\tau^2_{s,t}\rangle m_p}{g_pn_p\langle\tau^2_p\rangle m_{s,t}}. \tag{16}$$

and have introduced the parameters

$$\Sigma_\alpha = \frac{\int_0^\infty dEE^2\tau^2_\alpha(E)\partial f^\alpha_0/\partial E}{\int_0^\infty dEE\tau^2_\alpha(E)\partial f^\alpha_0/\partial E}, \tag{17}$$

$$\Gamma_\alpha = \frac{\int_0^\infty dEE^2\tau_\alpha(E)\partial f^\alpha_0/\partial E}{\int_0^\infty dEE\tau_\alpha(E)\partial f^\alpha_0/\partial E}, \tag{18}$$

and $D_{1,2} = (1+4A_{s1,2}+4A_{t1,2})^{-1}$.

Here the Γ terms are representative of a type of scattering mechanism(s), which can be a combination of types. In the non-degenerate system (above T_c) it is given by

$$\Gamma = (r+2) \tag{19}$$

where r is related to the energy dependence of the scattering time

$$\tau \propto E^r. \tag{20}$$

If the magnetic field is strong, $g_\alpha \tau_\alpha B >> 1$, we can gather all terms in B^2 and ignore the lower order terms.

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{strong} = [\frac{<\tau_p> D_2^2}{eTg_pB^2 <\tau_p^2>}][(\Sigma_p - \Gamma_p) \quad (21) \\ +2A_{s2}(\Sigma_s - 2\Gamma_p - \Delta) + 8A_{t1}A_{s2}(\Sigma_s - \Gamma_t - J)] \\ +8A_{s1}A_{s2}(\Sigma_s - \Gamma_s) + 2A_{t2}(\Sigma_t - 2\Gamma_p + J - \Delta)] \\ +2A_{s1}(2\Sigma_p - \Gamma_s + \Delta) + 8A_{s1}A_{t2}(\Sigma_t + J - \Gamma_s) \\ +2A_{t1}(2\Sigma_p + \Delta - J - \Gamma_t) + 8A_{t1}A_{t2}(\Sigma_t - \Gamma_t) \end{aligned}$$

and now the definition of $\langle \tau_\alpha^r \rangle$ becomes

$$\langle \tau_\alpha^r \rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty \frac{E\tau_\alpha^r}{(g_\alpha B \tau_\alpha)^2} dE \partial f_0^\alpha / \partial E}{\int_0^\infty dE f_0^\alpha} \qquad (22)$$

In overdoped and optimally doped cuprates the exchange energy between the triplet and singlet states, J $\sim$ 0. Therefore we may consider a degenerate singlet/triplet bipolaron system in this regime where we now have

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{weak} = [\frac{g_p <\tau_p^2> D_1^2}{eT <\tau_p>}][(\Sigma_p - \Gamma_p) \qquad (23) \\ +8A_{b1}A_{b2}(\Sigma_b - \Gamma_b) \\ +2A_{b2}(\Sigma_b - 2\Gamma_p - \Delta) \\ +2A_{b1}(2\Sigma_p - \Gamma_b + \Delta)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{strong} = [\frac{<\tau_p> D_2^2}{eTg_pB^2 <\tau_p^2>}][(\Sigma_p - \Gamma_p) \qquad (24) \\ +8A_{b1}A_{b2}(\Sigma_b - \Gamma_b) \\ +2A_{b2}(\Sigma_b - 2\Gamma_p - \Delta) \\ +2A_{b1}(2\Sigma_p - \Gamma_b + \Delta)] \end{aligned}$$

where now $D_{1,2} = (1 + 4A_{b1,2})^{-1}$ and the bipolaron number density becomes

$$n_b = -\frac{2m_bT}{\pi} \ln\left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right)\right], \qquad (25)$$

It is interesting to note that if $\tau_\alpha(E) = \tau_\alpha$ for all the carriers, the Nernst coefficient remains finite for both a degenerate and non-degenerate singlet/triplet system. When the scattering rate is independent of energy, $\Sigma = \Gamma$. Hence for a single carrier system the Nernst coefficient is zero and so the presence of different carriers leads to interference terms. Also the Nernst coefficient in a strong magnetic field yields the expected $1/B^2$ dependence.

IV. THERMOELECTRIC POWER

When an electric field is induced parallel to the applied thermal gradient in the absence of an electrical current (with no applied potential gradient or magnetic field), we can define the Thermoelectric power, α by

$$E_x = \alpha \nabla_x T \qquad (26)$$

We can use either eqn(10) or (11) omitting the off diagonal terms giving

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = -\frac{(\Gamma_p + (\Delta - \mu)/2) + 2A_{s1}(\Gamma_s - \mu)}{eT(1 + 4A_{s1} + 4A_{t1})} \qquad (27) \\ + \frac{2A_{t1}(\Gamma_t + J - \mu)}{eT(1 + 4A_{s1} + 4A_{t1})} \end{aligned}$$

Again for a degenerate triplet/singlet system we have

$$\alpha = -\frac{(\Gamma_p + (\Delta - \mu)/2) + 2A_{b1}(\Gamma_b - \mu)}{eT(1 + 4A_{b1})} \qquad (28)$$

When comparing these formulae to experiment one needn't take into account the phonon drag effect. The effect would usually occur because the temperature gradient also causes phonons to flow from the hot end to the cold end of the sample. This causes the carriers to be "dragged" along by the phonons. However there is no polaron-phonon interaction since it has been removed by the Lang-Firsov canonical transformation[7]. The dominant scattering mechanism should be the polaron-polaron scattering.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Both the Nernst coefficient and Thermoelectric power have been derived for a strongly coupled electron-phonon system with the cuprates in mind. A triplet and singlet bipolaron and polaron system have been considered as well as a system in which the singlet/triplet are degenerate. Interestingly the Nernst coefficient shows an intereference term even with an energy independent scattering rate in both cases. A direct comparison with the cuprates awaits reliable experimental data.

This work was supported by the EPSRC UK (grant R46977). I would like to thank A.S. Alexandrov and W.Y. Liang for their helpful comments.

---

[1] G.M. Eliashberg, Sov.Phys. JETP, **11**, 696 (1960).

[2] A.S. Alexandrov, Phys. Rev. B, **46**, 2838 (1992).

[3] I.G. Lang and Y.A. Firsov, Sov. Phys. JETP, **16**, 1301 (1963).

[4] A.S. Alexandrov, Russ. J. Phys. Chem., **57**, 273 (1983).

[5] A.S. Alexandrov and E.A. Mazur, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., **96** , 1773 (1989).

[6] A.S. Alexandrov, Phys. Rev. B, **53**, 2863 (1996).

[7] A.S. Alexandrov and N.F. Mott, *High Temperature Superconductors and Other Superfluids*, (Taylor and Francis, London, 1994).

[8] C.R.A. Catlow, M.S. Islam and X. Zhang, J. Phys.:Condensed Matter, **10** No. 3, L49 (1998).

[9] A.S. Alexandrov and P.E. Kornilovitch, J. Phys.:Condensed Matter, **14** No. 21, 5337 (2002).

[10] J. Bonca J and S.A. Trugman, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 094507 (2001).

[11] A.S. Alexandrov, cond-mat/0301541 (2003).

[12] A.S. Alexandrov and N.F. Mott, J. Supercond (US), **7**, 599 (1994).

[13] J. Rossat-Mignod, L.P. Regnault, P. Bourges, C. Vettier, P. Burlet and J.Y. Henry, Physica Scripta, **45**, 74 1992).

[14] H.A. Mook and M. Yethiraj, Phys. Rev. Lett, **70**, 3490 (1993).

[15] K.K. Lee, A.S. Alexandrov and W.Y Liang, cond-mat/0301559 (2003).

[16] K.A. Muller, Phil. Mag. Lett, **82**, 279 (2002).

[17] A.S. Alexandrov and A.F. Andreev, EuroPhys. Lett., **54**, 373 (2001).

[18] G.M. Zhao, Phys. Rev. B, **64**, 024503 (2001).